




Tony Hockley and Seán Boyle

**Working Systems?
Towards Safer NHS Nursing**

POLITEIA

A FORUM FOR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC THINKING



POLITEIA

A Forum for Social and Economic Thinking

Politeia commissions and publishes discussions by specialists about social and economic ideas and policies. It aims to encourage public discussion on the relationship between the state and the people. Its aim is not to influence people to support any given political party, candidates for election, or position in a referendum, but to inform public discussion of policy.

The forum is independently funded, and the publications do not express a corporate opinion, but the views of their individual authors.

www.politeia.co.uk

POLITEIA

A Forum for Social and Economic Thinking

Working Systems

Towards Safer NHS Nursing

Tony Hockley

Seán Boyle
Alistair McGuire

POLITEIA

2014

First published in 2014
by
Politeia
33 Catherine Place
London SW1E 6DY
Tel. 0207 799 5034

E-mail: info@politeia.co.uk
Website: www.politeia.co.uk

© Politeia 2014

Policy Series No. 91

ISBN 978-0-9926340-5-6

Cover design by John Marenbon

Politeia gratefully acknowledges support for this publication and associated research
from **Kronos Systems Ltd**

Support from this Publication has been granted by

Foundation for Social and Economic Thinking (FSET)

Printed in Great Britain by:
Plan – IT Reprographics
Atlas House
Cambridge Place
Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 1NS

THE AUTHORS

Dr Tony Hockley teaches in the Social Policy Department at the LSE. He is Director of the Policy Analysis Centre and specialises in health policy analysis. He has previously worked as Special Adviser to the Secretary of State at the Department of Health. His publications include *Back on Target: New Directions in Public Health Policy* (with Nick Bosanquet) for the Social Market Foundation, *Hands Up for School Choice* for Policy Exchange, and for Politeia he has written *A Premium on Health* in addition to his research work.

Seán Boyle is a Senior Research Fellow at the LSE. He works on healthcare policy, especially on the finance of health systems in the UK and advises public and private sector bodies and politicians on health planning and policy. He was public expenditure advisor to the House of Commons Health Committee between 1993 and 2009.

Alistair McGuire is Professor of Health Economics at LSE Health and Social Care, in the Department of Social Policy at the LSE. He was Professor of Economics at City University London after a period as Tutor in Economics at Pembroke College, Oxford.

CONTENTS

Foreword

- I. Introduction: Standards of Care, Hospital Nursing and NHS Change
- II. Efficiency and the Health Service
- III. Leadership, Safety and Staffing Numbers
- IV. Adequate Staffing, Effective Systems: The Advantages
- V. Conclusions

Foreword

Alistair McGuire

There have been fundamental changes within the NHS recently. From 1997 until 2010 the size of the NHS budget almost doubled, from £55 billion to £104 billion (in current terms). Since then, while different commentaries will tell you different things, NHS expenditure has essentially flat-lined in real terms.

If the NHS is to rely on increasing productivity to maintain standards, then it is clear that better knowledge over the form, type and uses of the labour-force is required. If productivity growth is to be relied upon to improve the NHS, this requires knowledge of the optimal skill mixes with which to provide treatments; the tasks best suited to differing types of labour within the NHS; and which rewards and incentives ought to be used to ensure that these skills are forthcoming. As this report documents, there is little information relating to these questions.

If productivity is to be increased, it is imperative to know how best to deploy labour within the NHS. Currently there is little information on the value added to individual treatments by different forms and mixes of labour provision. There is, in fact, little information on the actual hours worked by the labour force; the impact of turnover rates on local labour markets; or the actual tasks undertaken by different types of workers on a day-to-day basis.

As this timely report implies, too much NHS workforce planning is crude- based on historical ratios of the number of nurses per bed, the patient-nurse ratio or the doctor-nurse ratio. It is upon these and similar calculations that arguments around staff shortages are made. Yet these are historical norms, affected as much by changes in delivery patterns and new health care technology as by planning targets. This report raises the importance of staffing, and how staff levels might be considered and analysed within the NHS. On such questions will the continued ability of the NHS to provide health care to an optimal level rest.

Alistair McGuire,
Professor of Health Economics at LSE Health and Social Care
London School of Economics and Political Science

I

Introduction:

Standards of Care, Hospital Nursing and NHS Change

The early discussions which led to this paper coincided with a report from the House of Commons Health Select Committee which argued for the routine display of each hospital ward's ratio of clinical staff to patients, which would show - at any given time - the number of nurses and medical staff present on the ward.¹ Upon investigation it became clear that most NHS hospitals would struggle to provide robust, real-time data of this nature. The absence of reliable systems to record workforce timekeeping represents a potentially serious flaw in the system, affecting both its safety and efficiency. It seems that the NHS had fallen behind the times in comparison to many other employers, particularly those in which the presence of qualified staff plays a vital role in providing safe and effective services.² Whilst many NHS hospital trusts have moved towards electronic systems to improve the workload, accuracy and equity of rostering systems- at least for nurses- far fewer have combined this with any form of automated system to monitor and record workforce adherence to the roster. Such lack of connection between planning and providing has potentially serious clinical and financial implications for the NHS.

Within the challenging environment in which the NHS now operates, when improvements in safety and quality must be made alongside ongoing efficiencies, this paper serves to highlight an aspect of workforce management that should not be ignored. This is emphasised by unprecedented campaigns from within the clinical professions themselves to raise standards of care and transform the workforce culture of the NHS. The 'Speak out Safely' campaign from the Nursing Times, for example, demands the development of cultures that are 'open and transparent' with regard to safety,³ enabling staff to speak out whenever a safety concern occurs. The Royal College of Nursing (RCN) issued guidance describing good quality data as the 'cornerstone of effective staff planning and review.'⁴ It has argued that: 'Ensuring robust data collection, management and interpretation processes are in place is essential for effective workforce planning and reviews.'⁵ However, the workforce is understandably suspicious of systems that are reminiscent of industrial-style 'clocking-in', particularly when modern systems use biometrics to overcome

¹ Health Select Committee, *After Francis: Making a difference, Third Report of Session 2013-2014* (10th September 2013) HC 657, paragraph 147

² Agnvall, E, 'Biometrics Clock In', *HR Magazine* 52.4 (April 2007), pages 103-105

³ 'Speak Out Safely', www.nursingtimes.net/opinion/speak-out-safely/ (Accessed online 11 November 2013).

⁴ Royal College of Nursing, 'Guidance on Safe Staffing levels in the UK' (December 2012)

⁵ Royal College of Nursing, 'Frontline First: Running the Red Light' (November 2013, special report), page 23

the fraud and safety risks associated with card-based systems.⁶ This suspicion is by no means unique to the NHS, although biometric-based security systems are becoming commonplace, whether in public transport, at work, or in personal mobile devices.⁷ Indeed, because of their reliability and security such systems are being adopted within the hospitality sector not just for the workforce but also customers.⁸

In this paper, we have attempted outline the policy environment affecting NHS workforce management, and briefly investigate apparent weaknesses and opportunities that might remain in the implementation of safe staffing policies in NHS hospitals following the roll-out of electronic rostering systems. Our research has been mostly limited to available literature, assisted by a small number of telephone interviews, and a visit facilitated by Kronos Systems Ltd to Basildon and Thurrock NHS Foundation Trust in order to see an e-Rostering system in use.

Hospital Nursing Workforce: the biggest asset of the NHS In this short paper we have focused our discussion on the nursing workforce. This is done because it is the largest professional element of the NHS workforce in front-line care, and because nurses have been at the fore in the adoption of electronic rostering systems. We have no reason to believe that the issues and potential solutions are any different for other NHS staff groups providing care and treatment to patients. Excluding GP practice nurses, the NHS in England employs 370,000 qualified nurses, midwives and health visitors and 214,000 consultants, doctors and other clinical staff.⁹ Healthcare is labour-intensive, so that the workforce accounts for two-thirds of total costs.¹⁰

In 2005-6 earlier changes in manpower plans and in contracts produced dramatic increases in NHS workforce costs, so that pay alone accounted for almost half of the 10 per cent real-terms increase in NHS spending in what has been described as this last of the 'boom' years¹¹ for the NHS workforce. Since 2005 workforce productivity has become an increasingly central concern, but the paucity of robust data means the NHS is ill-equipped to manage the workforce or measure its

⁶ Brydie, D.R., *Situational Considerations in Information Security; factors influencing perceived invasiveness towards biometrics*, PhD Thesis, Capella University (2009)

⁷ In 2012 Apple, for example, introduced a fingerprint-based system to its iPhone

⁸ Kelly, W., 'Weighing the option of biometrics in the hospitality industry'; *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 2,1 (2010)

⁹ Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC) 'NHS Workforce: Summary of Staff in the NHS', *Results from September 2012 Census*- Table 1a 'NHS Hospital and Community Health Services (HCHS) and General Practice workforce as at 30th September each specified year' (21st March 2013) available at www.hscic.gov.uk

¹⁰ National Audit Office, *Healthcare across the U.K.: A comparison of the NHS in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland* (29th June 2012) HC-192 Session 2012-2013, page 8, paragraph 13

¹¹ Health Committee, *Workforce Planning*, Fourth Report 2006-2007, Volume 1, HC171-1, page 24, paragraph 57.

productivity. In evidence to the Health Select Committee, the workforce expert George Blair commented that ‘the information side is not well resourced’. Using a metaphor based on the Battle of Britain he explained that, in battle, “radar was crucial so that scarce resources were most effectively deployed. As such, there was no clamoring for scrapping the radar and having more pilots.”¹² This highlights the imperative nature of better monitoring the workforce, suggesting that the NHS is ill-equipped to make changes to the size or roles of its staff without first better understanding its activities and timekeeping.

In the absence of any system to monitor workforce needs, the size of the workforce can be driven more by financial considerations than by clinical need. During 2013 data began to demonstrate that many NHS providers had responded to demands for efficiency, at least in part, by a straightforward reduction in nurse numbers.¹³ This has only exacerbated concerns about the capacity of nurses to provide effective and safe care. Poor ward staffing levels against rising patient dependency, and the administrative demands placed on nurses have rekindled fears of a ‘compassion squeeze’.¹⁴

At the time of writing, and in response to concerns over safe staffing levels, the general trend seems to have shifted back towards recruitment, with a reported increase of 3,700 more nurses expected in 2013-14.¹⁵

¹² Ibid, page 54, paragraph 162.

¹³ Royal College of Nursing, *Nursing Jobs Being Cut- Despite Assurances*, Press Release (21st August 2012) www.rcn.org.uk/newsevents/press_releases/uk/rcn_nursing_jobs_being_cut_despite_assurances .

¹⁴ Such concerns are longstanding given the need to deliver care under stressful conditions. See, for example, Lyth, I.M., “Social systems as a defence against anxiety: An empirical study of a Nursing Service of a General Hospital”, *Human Relations*, Volume 13, pp. 95-121 (1960).

¹⁵ Department of Health, *Hard Truths- The Journey to Putting Patients First: Volume One of the Government Response to the Mid-Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Inquiry CM8754-I* (November 2013), page 55.

II

Efficiency and the Health Service

The Nicholson Challenge In 2009 the NHS Chief Executive, David Nicholson, warned the NHS that it should assume that: ‘we will need to release unprecedented levels of efficiency savings between 2011 and 2014 – between £15 billion and £20 billion across the service over the three years.’¹⁶ As (the first phase) of this challenge draws towards its conclusion NHS Trusts’ confidence in achieving the required efficiency savings appears to be in decline. In the year to September 2013 the proportion of NHS trust finance directors who were either fairly or very concerned about achieving their one-year targets for the Cost Improvement Programme (CIP) rose from 11 per cent to 43 per cent. Just one-third expressed confidence.¹⁷ But there is no sign that the NHS will be able to assume a more benevolent financial environment in the years that follow. David Nicholson himself has predicted:

Our analysis shows that if we continue with the current model of care and expected funding levels, we could have a funding gap of £30bn between 2013/14 and 2020/21, which will continue to grow and grow quickly if action isn’t taken. This is on top of the £20bn of efficiency savings already being met. This gap cannot be solved from the public purse but by freeing up NHS services and staff from old style practices and buildings.¹⁸

Furthermore, the £3.8bn Integration & Transformation Fund is taking a minimum of an additional £2bn of resources away from the acute sector from April 2015¹⁹ for use in out-of-hospital services: In the longer term it is intended that this Fund will lead to a long-term reduction in demand on the acute sector.

The changes required following the Francis Report, include demands for high standards of care^{24/7}. The shift towards seven-day working was promoted by NHS England in late 2012,²⁰ along with a high-profile campaign led by *The Sunday Times* during 2013, it strengthened backing for seven-day working within the medical establishment.²¹

¹⁶ NHS Chief Executive’s Annual Report 2008-09, *The Year* (20th May 2009), page 47

¹⁷ The King’s Fund, *How is the Health and Social Care System Performing: Quarterly Management Report* (September 2013)

¹⁸ NHS England News, *The NHS belongs to the People: A Call To Action* (11th July 2013) <http://www.England.nhs.uk/2013/07/11/call-to-action>

¹⁹ Health Committee, *Oral Evidence: Public Expenditure on Health and Social Care*, HC793 (Tuesday 5th November 2013), question 75

²⁰ NHS Improvement, *Equality for All: Delivering Safe Care- Seven Days a Week* (January 2012)

²¹ British Medical Association, *BMA commits to high-quality seven-day working week* (27th October 2013) <http://bma.org.uk/news-views-analysis/news/2013/October/bma-commits-to-high-quality-seven-day-working>

In its Mandate to NHS England for 2014-15 the Government differentiates between ‘treatment’ and ‘care’, and sets its ambition as ‘transformational change that brings about reliably safe and high quality care’.²² NHS providers can expect no less determination to meet the Nicholson Challenge under the next Chief Executive of NHS England. When the Lansley reforms were announced in 2010 Simon Stevens supported the changes asserting that efficiency and quality are inter-related. He argued that: ‘In the US data show that the highest quality and most efficient doctors and hospitals often cost at least 20 per cent less than the rest.’²³

The NHS has a fraught and costly track record of centrally-driven IT projects stretching back to the 1980s.²⁴ The most recent programme, an eight-year national strategy launched in 2002,²⁵ had reached a cost of £7.2 billion a decade later, with much of its original vision unfulfilled, its original ambitions much reduced, and ‘very considerable uncertainty’ around the forecast benefits.²⁶

In January 2013 this unfortunate record did not deter the new Health Secretary, Jeremy Hunt, from declaring: ‘today I am setting a new ambition for the NHS. I want it to become paperless by 2018. The most modern digital health service in the world’.²⁷ His enthusiasm was supported by the simultaneous publication of a report suggesting that the NHS could reap £4.4 billion of annual benefits from the use of IT in patient care.²⁸ In 2012-13 the Government also announced the creation of two funds to bring digital technology to hospital wards, amounting to £600 million in total.²⁹

Workforce Management: The Digital Challenge The focus in NHS reform- on efficiency and safer care- places much store on clinical data. Although some

²² Department of Health, *The Mandate: A Mandate from the Government to NHS England: April 2014-March 2015* (November 2013)

²³ This claim is presumably taken from United Healthcare’s own Premium Designation Programme, a quality and efficiency star-rating system for doctors. For a critical analysis see: http://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/368/uhc_premium_designation_chart.pdf

²⁴ Computer Weekly, *NHS IT Warnings for the Government Ignored* (27th January 2009) <http://www.computerweekly.com/news/1280096823/NHS-IT-warnings-the-government-ignored>

²⁵ Department of Health, *Delivering 21st Century IT Support for the NHS: National Strategic Programme* (2002)

²⁶ National Audit Office, *Memorandum for the House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts: Review of the Final Benefits Statement for the Programmes Previously Managed Under the National programme for IT in the NHS* (June 2013)

²⁷ Hunt, J, *From Notepad to iPad: Technology and the NHS*, Transcript of a speech at Policy Exchange, London (16th January 2013) <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/16-january-2013-jeremy-hunt-policy-exchange-from-notepad-to-ipad-technology-and-the-nhs>

²⁸ McKechnie, A. *A Review of the Potential Benefits From the Better Use of Information and Technology in Health and Social Care: Final Report*, PriceWaterhouseCoopers (January 2013)

²⁹ Safer Hospitals, Safer Wards Technology Fund (£510 Million) and Nursing Technology Fund (£100 million)

attention is paid to general staffing levels and ratios, there is a general lack of attention to workforce-related data despite its much larger role within the operation of the NHS.

The nearest that the NHS came to central pressure for the adoption of electronic systems in the complex task of hospital workforce management was a 2006 National Audit Office (NAO) report promoting good practice in the use of temporary staff.³⁰ The report offers examples from the NHS and private hospitals where electronic systems were used to improve the deployment of permanent staff and to provide real-time management information.³¹ In 2007 NHS Employers produced a guide to e-Rostering, although this was clear that it still relied upon staff to manually enter a record of their actual worked hours.³²

A 2012 survey by NHS Employers found that 90 per cent of NHS Trusts had implemented an e-Rostering system, and that most of the remainder were resolved to do so.³³ However, this was only for certain staff groups; whilst 97.7 per cent of systems in place covered qualified nurses, just 16.9 per cent included medical and dental staff. Pressure to implement e-rostering for medical trainees is provided by the costs of failures to comply with the requirements of the European Working Time Directive (EWTD) and Health and Safety requirements: When hospitals breach these rules they must not only pay substantial salary supplements, but are also fined for each breach. Against this background, the failure to achieve the more widespread roll-out of e-rostering systems for medical staff should be a cause for considerable concern on financial grounds alone.

The apparent general lack of attention to the progressive deployment of staffing processes is a significant problem for the NHS. Francis, in his report on Mid-Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust found that the Strategic Health Authority missed opportunities to intervene, hypothesising that: ‘The failure to link staffing issues with patient safety may explain the lack of interest or urgency in looking at these issues when they were raised about the Trust.’ Government initiatives such as the Nursing Technology Fund suggest that political interest in staffing is still concentrated on the staff-patient interface- or the numbers of staff presumably required to be present in order to deliver quality care- rather than the workforce processes that make this interface possible.

³⁰ National Audit Office, *Good Practice in the management of temporary staff* (12th July 2006).

³¹ *Ibid*, page 12.

³² NHS Employers, *Electronic rostering: helping to improve workforce productivity- A guide to implementing electronic rostering in your workplace* (October 2007).

³³ NHS Employers, *E Rostering Implementation Survey Results* (15th June 2012).

The Government's 'Digital Challenge' for clinical records faces the same cultural barriers that are also preventing the use of new technologies in linking staffing with patient safety. In a December 2013 survey of 419 health and health IT professionals within the NHS Health Service Journal found that ambitions for the use of technology are 'stymied by a lack of leadership and skills.'³⁴ Commenting on problems in the roll-out of an e-Rostering system one NHS Trust workforce performance manager said: 'Making sure you've got the senior managers bought into it is massive, and when we rolled it out in Portsmouth we didn't really have that support, so that was a big problem for us.'³⁵

³⁴ Illman, J., 'Board Level Culture Undermines Hunt's Paperless Ambitions', *Health Service Journal* (10th January 2014) pages 6-7.

³⁵ Caldwell, T., 'How e-rostering saves money on locums and fines', *Guardian Professional* (4th October 2011).

III

Leadership, Safety and Staffing Numbers

Health systems too often consider themselves unique in the challenges they face. This is rarely true. Air transport, for example, is bound by a complex web of regulation alongside often tenuous finances which have challenged operational researchers for many years.³⁶ Crew resource management (CRM) that uses non-technical skills to reduce human error has long-been the norm in aviation, and has spread to some aspects of medicine. The focus on issues of leadership and openness in teams may have much wider relevance where safety matters.³⁷

In the Francis Report the author highlights the need for leadership in first establishing effective working, and then assessing whether the number of staff available is sufficient:

Leadership is about producing effective work from the work force that you have. But if you assume that your nurses are working effectively and you still do not have enough staff to go round, then that is where you have the problem. I am afraid all these things are difficult and I am not going to pretend they are not, but it is a combination of having enough staff and the right leadership. One without the other is not sufficient.³⁸

Safe staffing: is there a right ratio? While there has been considerable focus on the need for data to record levels of ward staffing, there has been little attention given to the way that this should be achieved in practice, or the methods which ought to be used.

Compassion in Practice, the Government's nursing strategy, published in December 2012 noted that: 'we need the right number of staff, with the right skills and behavior and working in the right place to meet the needs of the people they care for', but rejected sole reliance on Nurses Per Occupied Bed (NPOB) as 'not sufficiently sensitive to reflect the skills mix at the point of care delivery.'³⁹ The strategy indicated that Trust Boards should receive, endorse and publish information

³⁶ Medard, CP., and Sawhney, N., 'Airline crew scheduling from planning to operations', *European Journal of Operational Research* 183:3 (2007) pp.1013-1027.

³⁷ Flin, R., O'Connor, P., and Mearns, K., 'Crew Resource management: Improving team work in high reliability industries', *Team Performance Management* 8:3 (2002) p.68.

³⁸ Health Select Committee, *After Francis: Making a Difference*, Third Report 2012-2013, EV7, Q23, House of Commons (10th September 2013).

³⁹ NHS Commissioning Board, *Compassion in Practice: Nursing, Midwifery and Care Staff, Our Vision and Strategy*, Department of Health (December 2012) p.22.

on staff levels at least twice a year More recently the Government has responded to Francis saying that ward-level data on nurse numbers should be published monthly⁴⁰ and that ‘All trusts should put in place measures to increase transparency on staffing at ward and service level as quickly as possible.’⁴¹ No justification is given as to why 12 times a year is better than twice a year, or indeed 365 times a year.⁴² Furthermore, no assessment is made of the potential validity of the data that would be produced. The Berwick Report on safety also recommended that transparency of safety and quality data should be ‘complete, timely and unequivocal.’⁴³

Una O’Brien CB, Permanent Secretary at the Department of Health, told the Health Select Committee that:

the development of data reporting would be essential to monitoring progress ... We need to make sure that the tools are fit for purpose. There needs to be more work on the quality of evidence behind the tools. The second stage is to ensure that they are systematically adopted and, thirdly, that there is adjustment and management on a daily basis.⁴⁴

The Berwick Report recommended that NICE should be responsible for investigating the evidence on staff-patient ratios, and advise on this and on methods for ‘real-time’ monitoring. In the meantime, however, it warned that the NHS must pay heed to recent research on the topic suggesting that: ‘operating a general medical-surgical hospital ward with fewer than one registered nurse per eight patients, plus the nurse in charge, may increase safety risks substantially.’⁴⁵ In its recommendations on measurement and transparency the Berwick Report described timely data on staffing levels as a potential ‘smoke detector,’ providing an earlier indicator of problems than mortality rates⁴⁶ but also advised that quantitative targets should only be used ‘with caution.’⁴⁷

Similarly, the Government in its response to the Francis Report said that: ‘accurate, useful and timely information allows providers of services, their commissioners, regulators and others to identify early warnings to the quality of services and take

⁴⁰ Department of Health, *Hard Truths*, p.15

⁴¹ *Ibid*, p.55

⁴² Immediate daily reporting and display of staff numbers at ward level was recommended by the Health Select Committee (2013), p.111

⁴³ National Advisory Group on the Safety of Patients in England, *A promise to Learn- A commitment to act: Improving the safety of patients in England* (August 2013) p.5

⁴⁴ Health Select Committee, *op cit*. EV77 Q509 (2013)

⁴⁵ *National Advisory Group on the Safety of Patients in England*, *Op Cit*, p.23

⁴⁶ *National Advisory Group on the Safety of Patients in England*, *Op Cit*, p.28

⁴⁷ *National Advisory Group on the Safety of Patients in England*, *Op Cit*, p. 4

immediate action to review and address them.’⁴⁸ To coincide with the Government’s response the NHS Chief Nursing Officer, Jane Cummings, produced guidance on staffing capacity and capability. In it Cummings emphasised the need for routine monitoring of staffing levels on a shift-to-shift basis, so that ‘immediate implications’ can be managed.⁴⁹ The guidance called for daily reviews at ward level and detailed monthly reports to NHS Trust boards, showing not only planned staffing levels, but also the number of actual staff on duty and the reasons for any gaps.⁵⁰ The guidance, however, warned that ratios alone do not produce good patient care. Whilst aids such as the Safer Nursing Care Tool⁵¹ can be used to help determine staffing requirements, it argues that high quality care ‘depends upon a range of other factors, such as the leadership of an organisation, the management culture, the culture and team working on the ward, the level of education and training available to staff, and the organisational environment’.⁵²

From Roster to Reality As has been noted above, the accuracy of workforce data is vital to the provision and planning of high quality care. The right levels of staffing within a roster are a necessary condition for safe care, but it is not sufficient to ensure the presence of an effective workforce for the care and treatment of patients. A roster is, in effect, a forecast of ward staffing, whereas many factors can affect how the roster is deployed on a ward-by-ward, minute-by-minute basis. Even very short periods of time when a roster is not met, or not sufficient, can have a serious impact on care. Variations between rosters and actual staffing levels can, of course, occur for many reasons, and are sometimes unavoidable:

- Staff can be called away to other wards or activities in response to unexpected demands
- Sickness absence is a significant factor given the demanding nature of clinical work. Nursing, midwifery and health visiting staff in the NHS take an average of more than 10 days per annum of sickness absence.⁵³
- Small flexibilities between the demands of work and home can prove necessary in many forms of shift work in response to the unsociable hours involved.

If such variations from the roster are not tracked and acted upon immediately it can leave wards short-staffed and payrolls in confusion. Whilst some members of staff

⁴⁸ Department of Health (November 2013) Op Cit, p.37

⁴⁹ Cummings, J, *How to ensure that the right people, with the right skills, are in the right place at the right time: A guide to nursing, midwifery and care staffing capacity and capability*, NHS England (November 2013), p.5

⁵⁰ Ibid, p.7

⁵¹ <http://shelfordgroup.org/resource/chief-nurses/safety-nursing-care-tool>

⁵² Ibid, pp.18-19

⁵³ Health and Social Care Information Centre, *Sickness and Absence Rates in the NHS: January-March 2013 and Annual Summary 2009-10 to 2012-13* (July 2013).

are overworking their hours, others are under-working.⁵⁴ The payroll situation is made worse if the relevant shifts attract ‘enhanced hours’ payments, so that hospitals may continue to pay these for permanent staff who have not been able to complete their rostered hours, and turn to agency staff for their most expensive night and weekend shifts. Exact timing matters greatly; staff levels at certain points, for example at shift handovers, can be of significant clinical importance.

In his review of 14 hospitals with high mortality rates, in which the review team would sometimes unannounced night-time or weekend visits to hospitals, Professor Sir Bruce Keogh noted that:

Contrary to the pre-visit data when the review teams visited the hospitals they found frequent examples of inadequate numbers of nursing staff in some ward areas. The reported data did not provide a true picture of the numbers of staff actually working on the wards. In some instances there were insufficient nursing establishments, whilst in others there were differences between the funded nursing establishments and the actual numbers of registered nurses and support staff available to provide care on a shift by shift basis.⁵⁵

In 2013 the Chief Nurse at UCLH told the Trust board:

Real time data would support operational decision making in relation to safe staffing levels. This would require daily acuity and dependency audits and an electronic patient record to extract care process measures from it. It would also need full implementation of e-roster to extract real time staff levels data ... ultimately the vision is to provide patients and their carers with real time quality assurance data that will be available at the entrances of wards and departments. The availability of such data will increasingly become important to the public and will be an additional significant factor in their confidence in the organization.⁵⁶

Systems that require a degree of manual data input on actual staffing levels introduce inevitable risks into the system, whether due to time pressures, human error, or data manipulation. Such manipulation came to light, for example, within the context of waiting times data at Colchester General Hospital, where the Care Quality Commission discovered that data on care pathways had been altered in order to comply with cancer waiting time standards.⁵⁷

⁵⁴ Mercer, M, Buchan, J, Chubb, C, ‘Flexible nursing, report for NHS Professionals’, *Institute for Employment Studies*, 2010, p.33.

⁵⁵ Keogh, B, *Review into the quality of treatment and care at 14 hospital trusts in England: Overview Report* (16th July 2013), p.22.

⁵⁶ Fenton, K, *Ensuring Safe Nurse Staffing Levels and Excellent Nursing Care Quality and UCLH*, Board of Directors Agenda Item 5, 8th May 2013.

⁵⁷ Care Quality Commission, *Inspection Report: Colchester General Hospital* (November 2013)

IV Adequate Staffing, Effective Systems The Advantages

Robust data on the adequacy of staffing levels requires not only an effective system of rostering, but also an effective system for monitoring adherence to the roster. The risk, of course, is that any steps in this direction can easily be perceived as controlling, and therefore demotivating. The goals of new systems need to be understood and become intrinsic to staff, so that autonomy is enhanced rather than reduced.⁵⁸ There is a considerable risk that if the purpose is perceived as being to produce pointless data for pointless targets, or simply to exert control or cut costs then the prospects for motivation would be low.

A system within which staffing data is routinely monitored and shared must be closely tied to visions for high quality patient care. It must enhance perceptions of nurses' own control over their work rather than further diminish it. Inadequate and unpredictable staffing levels are known to adversely affect perceived control amongst nursing staff;⁵⁹ better systems for rostering and monitoring staffing levels can present an opportunity to address these factors. Note on different public-private attitudes to time recording.

Leadership is a critical factor to success, at all levels from Whitehall to the ward. Transformational leadership, in which visions, values and goals are shared, is known to affect wider motivation.⁶⁰ A survey of NHS employees by the Kings Fund found that staff themselves rated leadership as the second most significant factor, after resources, in creating the right culture for high quality care.⁶¹ Yet, as discussed earlier in the context of e-Rostering and the Digital Challenge the NHS suffers from a widespread lack of engagement by senior management in the adoption of IT solutions, even where the benefits in terms of efficiency and safety are well established.

How much can improved management systems contribute? In the course of writing this paper we have had the benefit of access to information from several providers that have moved to paperless systems for their nursing workforce. It is

⁵⁸ Gagne, M and Deci, E.L., 'Self-determination theory and work motivation', *Journal of Organizational Behaviour* 26:4 (2005), p.331.

⁵⁹ Maben, J., Adams, M., Peccei, R., Murrells, T., and Robert, G., 'Poppets and Parcels: the links between staff experience of work and acutely ill older peoples' experience of hospital care', *International Journal of Older People Nursing* 7:2 (2012) pp.83-94.

⁶⁰ Joyce, E., Bono, J.E., and Judge, T.A., 'Self-Concordance at Work: Toward Understanding the Motivational Effects of Transformational Leaders,' *The Academy of Management Journal*; 46:5 (October 2003) pp.554-571.

⁶¹ The King's Fund, *Patient-centred leadership: rediscovering our purpose* (2013), p.39

unsurprising that in paper-based systems mistakes are made given the complex and ever-changing nature of ward staffing 24 hours a day. The scale of these mistakes will usually only become apparent once a more robust system is adopted.

Problems with over- and under-payment of staff appear to be widespread in the NHS. The 2011 Staff Survey suggested, for example, that 55 per cent of non-medical staff regularly worked unpaid hours, and it has been claimed that 41 per cent of staff regularly work up to five unpaid hours a week.⁶² At the same time administrative errors lead to hospitals continuing to pay staff after they have left. Several such instances have come to light, suggesting an extensive problem.

A BBC Radio Kent investigation using Freedom of Information requests to NHS trusts in the county found £3 million of overpayments over five years. One of the highest found in the county was at Maidstone & Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust, although £300,000 out of £442,000 overpaid in 2010/11 had been recovered by April 2013. Noel Plummeridge, a former NHS Finance Director for the region told the BBC that: 'It's worth noting that it's not a Kent specific problem; this is something that's been noted across the NHS. It's not a new problem. It's been going on for some years.'⁶³

The Health Service Journal found that Barts and The London NHS Trust had to employ debt collectors to chase around £1 million of overpayments in 2011-2012, having made a similar level of overpayments in 2010-2011. An audit report found that one doctor, who had left the Trust, had been overpaid £126,000.⁶⁴ An October 2012 report to the Trust Management Board said that: 'Barts Health over pays staff circa £1m per year – much of which is lost. The report identified leavers as the main source of overpayments, with other significant sources included hours reductions and spells of unpaid leave. Another indicator of the widespread nature of the problem is that whilst the original disclosures related to Barts & The London NHS Trust, prior to the April 2012 merger of several Trusts to create Barts Health NHS Trust,⁶⁵ the October 2012 report discovered that it was 'common across the merged organisation and the problem is not just within the BLT legacy site.'

⁶² NHS Pay Review Body, *Twenty-Seventh Report 2013* cm8555 (March 2013), p.42.

⁶³ BBC News, Kent, *Kent NHS Trusts overpay Staff by £3m over five years* (4th April 2013).

⁶⁴ Clover, B., 'Trust Refers 248 staff to debt collectors following salary overpayments', *Health Service Journal* (HSJ) (4th January 2013).

⁶⁵ It thus became the biggest NHS trust in Britain. For a general account of the financial position including overspending see:

http://www.bartshealth.nhs.uk/media/27093/121031_barts_health_trust_board_paper_part_1.pdf

As an example of the type of difficulty that can arise in any major hospital with paper-based systems the experience of the highly-regarded UCL Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust may be instructive.⁶⁶ At its meeting in May 2013 the Trust's Audit Committee was concerned at the discovery of: '67 occurrences of staff overpayments amounting to £177k in the 6 months to October 2012 and expressed surprise that removal of leavers from the payroll on a timely basis was proving to be a challenge.' Apparently 'leaver forms' were slow to find their way from Divisional Managers, to Human Resources, to Payroll. Other issues raised included unverifiable signatures on overtime forms. According to the minutes such problems would be addressed by the rollout of e-rostering. At its July meeting the Audit Committee was told with regard to payroll reconciliation that: 'Good information in this area was proving a challenge for most NHS organization's and that at UCLH 'There was no indication of fictitious employees on the payroll, but there was uncertainty around establishment / budgeting.'⁶⁷

The suggestion that these uncertainties are widespread was confirmed in an interview with a retired Finance Director from a hospital trust, who commented that revelations of substantial failures in the timesheets system at the trust were not unique: 'Every other organization would be exactly the same.'⁶⁸

These figures are, of course, based only on the element of overspend that has come into the public domain. They are very small when compared to overall workforce spending. This serves to highlight the significant benefits of steps to ensure complete accuracy within the NHS payroll which dominates health spending. An overspend as low as £354,000pa, as discovered at UCLH, although just 0.09 per cent of the total payroll at the Trust, would be sufficient to pay the salaries of an additional 11 qualified nurses.⁶⁹ Even this very low level of overspending due to time recording failures would amount to £63m if replicated across the NHS in England.

Based on the fact that £140,000 of overpayments at Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells was unrecovered two years later, and the level of overpayments revealed at UCLH (above) if the problem is as widespread as suggested then as much as £30.5 million

⁶⁶ UCLH was selected for analysis of recent Board papers simply because it is a particularly open hospital trust, which makes detailed board papers readily available to the public. We had no reason to believe that any reference to overpayments would be found.

⁶⁷ University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Audit Committee, Minutes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 27th March 2013, pp. 6-7. University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Audit Committee, Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday 26th July 2013, p.7.

⁶⁸ TMB 36/12 *Reducing Overpayments and Improving the Quality of Payroll Information* Barts Health NHS Trust 30 October 2012 (Released under the Freedom of Information Act. Ref FOI-436-12).

⁶⁹ In 2012, the average basic pay of Nurses, Midwives and Health Visitors was £30, 481, NHS Information Centre (December 2012).

may be lost annually across the NHS in England.⁷⁰ That this should be happening at the same time that many in the workforce are undertaking unpaid hours is particularly worrying. The impact on morale of such practices could be very serious. The question of overpayments is certainly worthy of much greater attention and analysis across the NHS.

Paperless rostering and delivery: financial gains As yet the NHS has little experience of moving beyond electronic rosters to a paperless system that links the roster, service provision, and the payroll. Our estimate of potential gains is, therefore an extrapolation from the case studies for which data is available in the public domain. This is, by necessity, a best guess at the size of potential financial gains. We believe that other potential gains, in patient safety, and in the retention of experienced staff are probably more significant in the long run. It is almost impossible to separate the gains attributable to e-rostering and those that are due to implementation of a completely electronic system that records working time.

Our strongest evidence base is contained in a 2011 ‘benefits realisation’ paper from Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.⁷¹ The Trust decided to implement an e-Rostering system in 2008, beginning with the nursing workforce. The Trust estimates that its Payroll department is benefitting from recurrent annual savings of £100,000 through reduced input time, errors and corrections. The more efficient use of permanent staff, has brought about a reduction in the use of temporary staff that was saving £670,000 per month, which included a 37 per cent (£5.8 million) reduction in total temporary nursing spend between 2009/10 and 2010/11 (the Trust switched to full e-rostering and time and attendance functionality in April 2010).⁷² As a ‘very conservative estimate’ the Trust attributes £160,000 of annual savings directly to its time and attendance system rather than to the wider e-Rostering initiative.

On the basis of the savings claimed at Basildon & Thurrock, this would suggest that across England the potential gains to the NHS in a transition from basic e-Rostering to a fully electronic rostering and timekeeping system could be up to £41 million annually.⁷³ The robust data provided by such a system would also allow the use of resources to be carefully managed within a proactive system of activity analysis and workforce planning, rather than with a reactive system for managing shortages.

⁷⁰ Based on Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trusts whole-time equivalent staff of 4,750, and a national hospital and community health service (HCHS) workforce of 1,034,473 full-time equivalents (Health and Social Care Information Centre, August 2013 data).

⁷¹ Catling, M., Mullin, J., *Benefits Realisation: e-rostering phased one, Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust* (4th July 2011).

⁷² Total temporary nursing spend fell from £15,967,000 in 2009-10, to £10,138,000 in 2010-11.

⁷³ Based on Basildon and Thurrock NHS Trust’s whole-time equivalent staff of 4029, and a National hospital and community health service (HCHS) workforce of 1,034,473 full-time equivalents (Health and Social Care Information Centre, August 2013 data).

V Conclusions

With a need to deliver much improved standards of patient safety, transformational change, and unprecedented and ongoing efficiency gains NHS hospitals will see few solutions that can simultaneously address all of these challenges. In this paper we have, however, highlighted a relaxed management approach to simple NHS workforce activity that puts safety and efficiency at serious risk. Furthermore, data weaknesses on actual working hours make a robust understanding of the pressures on the workforce, as a basis for change extremely difficult. In a safety-critical environment, where the absence of one member of staff for a matter of minutes can make a significant difference, knowing who is available in real time, and having a verifiable record of staffing levels is of great value. The paucity of such data affects the NHS at all levels, from the wards to Whitehall. Each year, for example, the pay review bodies must judge anecdotal evidence and best estimates when making fundamental decisions that have a significant impact on the operating costs of the NHS, the pressure felt by NHS staff, and eventually on patient care.

Introducing ‘clocking in’ systems is fraught with difficulty, particularly where the extra security associated with modern biometric systems might be desirable. Achieving user acceptance is vital.⁷⁴ Our discussions with those who have introduced such systems highlight similar factors to those seen in other sectors: A clear objective is paramount amongst these. The development of e-Rostering is already demonstrating how technology can not only significantly reduce the administrative workload, to enable staff to concentrate on patient care, but also how fairness in rostering is more easily achieved and monitored within an electronic system. Against a background of many staff being underpaid for the hours they work, and others (including leavers) being overpaid, an extension of e-Rostering into automatic time recording represents a logical next step.

The size and significance of the staff payroll within NHS spending necessitates an approach that aims to achieve complete accuracy, so that real staffing levels are always accurate, safe, and openly monitored, but with the minimum of bureaucracy. As we have seen even an inaccuracy 0.09 per cent in the NHS payroll equates to around £63m annually across the NHS; sufficient for the employment of up to 2000 additional nurses.

NHS managers, however, must follow national priorities. When the ‘digital challenge’ is restricted by politicians to patient data it is unsurprising that hospitals have been slow to seize the opportunities in taking e-Rostering to its logical conclusion. The current focus on safe staffing, however, presents a very real

⁷⁴ Hossein, B., ‘The introduction of biometric security into organizations: a managerial perspective’, *International Journal of Management* 29:2, (June 2012), p.694.

opportunity to move forward. Unannounced inspections by the Care Quality Commission should not be the only way in which real staffing levels come to light. Leadership at all levels is required if a more transparent and robust system for safe staffing is to be adopted.⁷⁵ Knowing that the right people are in the right place at the right time would be an important step towards a safer health system, alongside the other changes that are required.

The Government is demanding real-time data on ward staffing, and with a little leadership at all levels this seems to be readily achievable, whilst also reducing paperwork and releasing nurses back to patient care.

⁷⁵ NHS Commissioning Board (2012) *op cit*, p.9

Subscribe to Politeia's Publications!

For £35 a year you will receive an electronic copy of each of our publications, plus hard copies of two new publications on request, and, if you wish, free hard copies of your choice from our back catalogue. You will also be receive advance notice and invitations to Politeia's conferences and flagship events, with guest speakers from the UK and overseas.

More information can be found on our website: www.politeia.co.uk. Or, write to the Secretary, Politeia, 33 Catherine Place, London SW1E 6DY or at info@politeia.co.uk



A Selection of Recent and Related Publications

After Osbrow: Mending Monetary Policy
Douglas Carswell

Nuclear options: Powering the Future
Roger Cashmore, David Mowat, Simon Taylor

Working Welfare: Contributory Benefits, the Moral Economy and the New Politics
Frank Field

Latin for Language Lovers: Ancient Languages, the New Curriculum and GCSE
David Butterfield, Stephen Anderson, Katherine Radice, Dominic Sullivan

The Financial Sector and the UK Economy: The Danger of Over-Regulation
J. McFall, K. Matthews, P. Minford, D. Green, J. Dannhauser, J. Hodgson, S. Cochrane, D.B. Smith, E. George

Going for Growth: The Best Course for Sustained Economic Recovery
Norbert Hoekstra, Ludger Schuknecht and Holger Zemanek

University Diversity: Freedom, Excellence and Funding for a Global Future
Martin Rees

Systems for Success: Models for Healthcare Reform
Sheila Lawlor, Georg Baum, Jean-Louis Beaud de Brive and Deepak Lal

NHS failings, including many which affect the day to day care of sick people, have been all too prominent in the UK. They have raised serious concerns about the standards of care in our hospitals and led to a number of official inquiries and recommendations. They have prompted questions about the structures for accountability, the system for investigating concerns and, not least, staffing levels.

But, as *Working Systems? Towards Safer NHS Nursing* shows, there is in fact a failure with regard to the very information available about staffing levels. Often it is based on general historic ratios, and not the number of nurses really present in the ward at any given time. Dr Tony Hockley and Sean Boyle explain that without reliable systems to record such information, safety and efficiency is compromised. Some NHS hospital trusts have now moved to electronic rostering systems for nurses, but few have added the automated systems necessary to monitor adherence to the roster and check the activity of the workforce.

The authors analyse the direction of change in the NHS, the emphasis on safety, staffing numbers and the goal of better care in a more effective and efficient system. Meeting the government's demand for accurate data on ward staffing will be central. Management should recognise the advantages of electronic monitoring, which raises efficiency, upholds fairness and put boots on the ground where they are needed.

POLITEIA

£5.00